



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/615,882

07/08/2003

Philip Michael Hawkes

030441

9835

23696 7590 09/28/2010  
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED  
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER

SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2439

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/28/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/615,882	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAWKES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2439	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 64-91 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 64-91 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/3/2010, 7/1/2010, 7/30/2010</u> .                           | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 2439

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The response of 7/30/2010 was received and considered.
2. Claims 64-91 are pending.

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/30/2010 has been entered.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) documents submitted on 6/3/2010, 7/1/2010 and 7/30/2010 were considered by the examiner.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 64-87 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. However, Applicant's response will be addressed.

- a. Applicant's response (pp. 11-12) argues the limitations in the claims are definite. However, it is believed that Applicant has misunderstood the Examiner's assertions. The Examiner asserts that certain claims recite limitations (for example, relating to relative processing power or memory security) are indefinite in light of the claim type; the alleged indefiniteness stems from the nature of the claim's invention. For example, claim 64 recites a method, a series of steps. Claim 64 recites, for example, "A method ... comprising: each terminal forwarding ...

Art Unit: 2439

the secure processing unit has processing power sufficient to decrypt ...". In such a configuration, it is unclear how the processing power of the secure processing unit has an effect on the claimed invention, a method. This indefiniteness is evidence by questioning how the method steps would change if this limitation were removed; the Examiner contends that this limitation does not affect the method. In an effort to give Applicant the benefit of the doubt, the limitation has been examined as if it were required by the claim. However, the Examiner maintains that the claims are indefinite as comprising limitations that have seemingly no effect on the claim.

b. Applicant's response (p. 13) asserts that the claim is clarified to convey that the secure processing unit has "more secure" storage than the mobile equipment. It is noted that Hawkes, ¶¶64-65, discloses that the UIM has more secure storage than the mobile equipment.

c. Applicant's response (pp. 13-15) argues that the "RK of the Hawkes application publication is not similar to the private key of the Ahonen application publication, and that the BAK of the Hawkes application publication is not similar to the KEK of the Ahonen publication". It is noted that the key hierarchy of Hawkes and Ahonen is not identical. However, the top key in the hierarchy of Ahonen is the private key, and is therefore analogous to the RK of Hawkes. Further, it is noted that the public/private key system of Ahonen has benefits over Hawkes, such as allowing generation of a key pair within the system and allowing fast, simple association of the mobile equipment with another provider. Therefore, it is submitted that the rejection is reasonable and should be maintained.

d. Applicant's response (p. 15) asserts that a skilled artisan would not have been motivated to use asymmetric encryption instead of the symmetric encryption because Hawkes states that symmetric encryption is generally much faster than public key encryption (it is noted that this was known in the art as well). However, two points are made. First, the BAK is decrypted with

Art Unit: 2439

RK infrequently and therefore a slower process is highly acceptable. Second, the benefits of the asymmetric distribution model (not having to securely transfer the top/highest key in the hierarchy) would be seen as beneficial in saving time and money in the system's implementation. Therefore, it is submitted that the rejection is reasonable and should be maintained.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 64-71, 77-81 and 88-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

e. Regarding claims 64-71, 77-81 and 88-91, the claims as amended, include limitations directed to the processing power or memory size of the respective components. However, it is unclear how or if these limitations have any effect on the scope of the method or machine readable medium claims, respectively.

f. Regarding claim 88, lines 15-16, the limitation "the integrated circuit" lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2439

9. Claims 64-69, 71-75, 77-80, 82-85 and 88-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0141591, published 11/3/2002 to Hawkes et al. (**Hawkes**) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2006/0168446 to Ahonen et al. (**Ahonen**).

Regarding claim 64, Hawkes discloses a method for receiving encrypted multimedia content broadcast over the air (mobile stations tune to broadcast frequency, ¶57) from a content provider (content server, ¶63) to a plurality of terminals (MS) authorized based on a broadcast access key (mobile equipment receives BAK ultimately from having an authorized UIM, ¶70), comprising each terminal having a mobile equipment (ME, Fig. 4, #306) and having a secure processing unit (UIM, Fig. 4, #308) that securely stores a unique key (RK is stored in SUMU, Fig. 4, #314, ¶74), such that the unique private key is not accessible to the mobile equipment of the respective terminal (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and RK is not provided to the ME, ¶72), key storage in the secure processing unit (secure UIM memory, ¶65) is more secure than key storage in the mobile equipment (SUMU has a secure memory unit, ¶65, where the ME is insecure, ¶64), the secure processing unit (SUPU) has processing power sufficient to decrypt an encrypted broadcast access key (BAK is received by UIM in encrypted form, ¶70) and to generate a short term key (UIM is able to recover the value of BAK, ¶70 and able to compute SK, ¶73) and the secure processing unit does not have processing power sufficient to decrypt encrypted multimedia content (SUPU does not have significant processing power for functions beyond security and key procedures such as to allow encryption of the broadcast content of the HSBS, ¶66) and the broadcast access key (BAK) is encrypted by the content provider using the unique keys (RK) of each of the respective terminals to authorize the respective terminal to receive the encrypted multimedia content (BAK is encrypted with RK, ¶74), each terminal receiving the encrypted broadcast access key (BAK) over the air from the content provider (BAK is received from CS, ¶74) and providing the encrypted broadcast access key (BAK is passed to the UIM, ¶74) to the terminal's secure processing unit (UIM, ¶74), wherein the terminal's secure processing unit (UIM) decrypts the

Art Unit: 2439

encrypted broadcast access key (BAKI) using the secure processing unit's unique key (RK is used in the UIM to decrypt BAK from BAKI, ¶74) and securely stores the broadcast access key (BAK is stored in SUMU, ¶74), wherein the securely stored broadcast access key is not accessible to the mobile equipment (¶65), each terminal receiving short-term key information (SKI, ¶76 & ¶78) and encrypted multimedia content (received broadcast content, ¶80) over the air from the content provider (CS), to the terminals MS, ¶76 & ¶80), wherein the content is encrypted with a short-term key (¶81), and wherein the short-term key is generated using the broadcast access key (BAK) and short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76), and provides the short-term key (SK) to the terminal's mobile equipment (SK is passed to ME, ¶¶80-81, last two lines of each), and each terminal's mobile equipment decrypting the multimedia content using the short-term key (ME decrypts the received broadcast content, ¶¶80-81, last two lines of each). Hawkes lacks each terminals forwarding a unique public key over the air to the content provider and lacks wherein the secure processing unit stores a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. However, Ahonen teaches a system where a terminal forwards a unique public key over the air (over a 3G network, ¶37) to a content provider (terminal sends a registration message to a group controller, the message including a copy of the terminal's public key, ¶38), wherein each terminal stores a unique private key corresponding to the unique public key (terminal creates a signature using the private key, ¶38 & ¶42, showing that the terminal stores the private key). Similarly to Hawkes's RK, the private key that corresponds to the forwarded unique public key in Ahonen is used to decrypt a received encrypted key encrypting key (KEK), which is similar to Hawkes's BAK (¶41). The KEK is then used to decrypt a received encrypted traffic encrypting key (TEK, ¶41) which decrypts the broadcast content (¶36) that is received, possibly from the group controller (¶19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawkes such that each terminal (MS) forwards a unique public key over the air to the content provider (CS), wherein the secure processing unit (UIM) stores a

Art Unit: 2439

unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to perform this modification to achieve a simple mechanism for key dissemination, as taught by Ahonen (¶7). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would appreciate this benefit because Ahonen is using the existing, well-known, public key infrastructure to share a key, rather than a more complex protocol such as AKA or IKE.

Regarding claim 65, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is accessible to a user (Hawkes discloses that data in the ME is easily accessed, ¶64 and that SK is passed to the ME for decrypting of the broadcast content, ¶78; therefore, the SK is accessible to a user).

Regarding claim 66, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key is changed by the content provider at a rate such that the cost of an unauthorized terminal user obtaining the short-term key from the mobile equipment exceeds the value of the short-term key to the unauthorized terminal user (Hawkes discloses that the SK is changed frequently such that the cost of a non-subscriber obtaining SK from the memory exceeds the value of SK, ¶68).

Regarding claim 67, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the secure processing unit (UIM) is removable from the terminal (¶66).

Regarding claim 68, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key information (SKI) is the short-term key encrypted using the broadcast access key (SKI may be the encryption of SK using BSK as the key, ¶76).

Regarding claim 69, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is generated by applying a cryptographic hash to a concatenation of the short-term key information (SKI) and the broadcast access key (BAK, ¶76, last three lines).

Regarding claim 71, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein at least one terminal (MS) comprises a mobile station (Fig. 3, #206 & ¶57).



Art Unit: 2439

Regarding claim 72, Hawkes discloses an integrated circuit (¶107) for a mobile station (MS, Fig. 4, #300) comprising means for securely storing a unique key (RK is stored in SUMU, Fig. 4, #314, ¶74) such that the unique key is not accessible to a user (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and RK is not provided to the ME, ¶72), wherein the means for securely storing (SUPU) has processing power sufficient to decrypt an encrypted broadcast access key (BAKI, ¶70) and to generate a short term key (UIM is able to recover the value of BAK, ¶70 and able to compute SK, ¶73), and does not have processing power sufficient to decrypt encrypted multimedia content (SUPU does not have significant processing power for functions beyond security and key procedures such as to allow encryption of the broadcast content of the HSBS, ¶66) and wherein broadcast access key (BAK) is encrypted by the content provider (CS, ¶70) with each of the unique keys (RK) to authorized an integrated circuit securely storing a corresponding key to receive the encrypted multimedia content (BAK is encrypted with RK, ¶74 and RK is stored in the UIM, ¶74), means (MS) for receiving the encrypted broadcast access key (BAK) over the air from the content provider (BAKI is received from CS, ¶74), means (MS) for decrypting the encrypted broadcast access key (BAKI) using the secure processing unit's unique key (RK is used in the UIM to decrypt BAK from BAKI, ¶74) and securely storing the broadcast access key (BAK is stored in SUMU, ¶74), wherein the securely stored broadcast access key is not accessible to a user (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and the BAK is stored in the SUMU, ¶74), means (MS) for receiving short-term key information (SKI, ¶76 & ¶78) and encrypted multimedia content (received broadcast content, ¶80) over the air from the content provider (CS) to the a plurality of mobile stations (Fig. 3, #206) each having the integrated circuit (MS, ¶76 & ¶80, Fig. 4, #300), wherein the content is encrypted with a short-term key (¶81), and wherein the short-term key is generated using the broadcast access key (BAK) and short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76), means (MS) for generating the short term key using the securely stored broadcast access key (BAK) and the broadcast short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed

Art Unit: 2439

to determine SK, ¶76) and means (MS) for decrypting the multimedia content using the short-term key (ME decrypts the received broadcast content using SK, ¶¶80-81, last two lines of each), wherein key storage in the means for securely storing more secure than key storage in the means for decrypting the multimedia content (UIM, ¶65, where the ME is not considered secure, ¶64). Hawkes lacks forwarding a unique public key over the air to the content provider and lacks securely storing a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. However, Ahonen teaches a system where a terminal forwards a unique public key over the air (over a 3G network, ¶37) to a content provider (terminal sends a registration message to a group controller, the message including a copy of the terminal's public key, ¶38), wherein each terminal stores a unique private key corresponding to the unique public key (terminal creates a signature using the private key, ¶38 & ¶42, showing that the terminal stores the private key). Similarly to Hawkes's RK, the private key that corresponds to the forwarded unique public key in Ahonen is used to decrypt a received encrypted key encrypting key (KEK), which is similar to Hawkes's BAK (¶41). The KEK is then used to decrypt a received encrypted traffic encrypting key (TEK, ¶41) which decrypts the broadcast content (¶36) that is received, possibly from the group controller (¶19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawkes's terminal such that each terminal (MS) forwards a unique public key over the air to the content provider (CS), wherein the secure processing unit (UIM) stores a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to perform this modification to achieve a simple mechanism for key dissemination, as taught by Ahonen (¶7). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would appreciate this benefit because Ahonen is using the existing, well-known, public key infrastructure to share a key, rather than a more complex protocol such as AKA or IKE.

Art Unit: 2439

Regarding claim 73, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is accessible to a user (Hawkes discloses that data in the ME is easily accessed, ¶64 and that SK is passed to the ME for decrypting of the broadcast content, ¶78; therefore, the SK is accessible to a user).

Regarding claim 74, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key information (SKI) is the short-term key encrypted using the broadcast access key (SKI may be the encryption of SK using BSK as the key, ¶76).

Regarding claim 75, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is generated by applying a cryptographic hash to a concatenation of the short-term key information (SKI) and the broadcast access key (BAK, ¶76, last three lines).

Regarding claim 77, Hawkes discloses a non-transitory machine-readable medium (¶108) comprising code for securely storing a unique key (RK is stored in SUMU, Fig. 4, #314, ¶74), in a secure processing unit of a terminal (UIM) such that the unique key is not accessible to a mobile equipment of the terminal (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and RK is not provided to the ME, ¶72), wherein key storage the secure processing unit is more secure than key storage in the mobile equipment (UIM is secure, ¶65, where ME is not considered secure, ¶64), wherein the secure processing unit (SUPU) has processing power sufficient to decrypt an encrypted broadcast access key (¶70) and to generate a short term key (UIM is able to recover the value of BAK, ¶70 and able to able to compute SK, ¶73), but does not have processing power sufficient to decrypt encrypted multimedia content (SUPU does not have significant processing power for functions beyond security and key procedures such as to allow encryption of the broadcast content of the HSBS, ¶66) and wherein the broadcast access key is encrypted by the content provider (CS) using the unique keys (RK) to authorize the terminal to receive the encrypted multimedia content (BAK is encrypted with RK, ¶74 and RK is stored in the UIM, ¶74), code (MS, ¶108) for receiving the encrypted broadcast access key (BAK) over the air from the content provider (BAKI is received from CS, ¶74), code (MS, ¶108) for decrypting the

Art Unit: 2439

encrypted broadcast access key (BAKI) using the secure processing unit's unique key (RK is used in the UIM to decrypt BAK from BAKI, ¶74) and securely storing the broadcast access key (BAK is stored in SUMU, ¶74), wherein the securely stored broadcast access key is not accessible to a the mobile equipment (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and the BAK is stored in the SUMU, ¶74, UIM is separate from the ME, ¶¶64-70), code (MS, ¶108) for receiving short-term key information (SKI, ¶76 & ¶78) and encrypted multimedia content (received broadcast content, ¶80) over the air from the content provider (CS) to the a plurality of terminals (Fig. 3, #206), wherein the multimedia content is encrypted with a short-term key (¶81), and wherein the short-term key is generated using the broadcast access key (BAK) and short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76), code (MS, ¶108) for generating the short term key using the securely stored broadcast access key (BAK) and the broadcast short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76) and code (MS, ¶108) for decrypting the multimedia content using the short-term key (ME decrypts the received broadcast content using SK, ¶¶80-81, last two lines of each). Hawkes lacks forwarding a unique public key over the air to the content provider and lacks securely storing a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. However, Ahonen teaches a system where a terminal forwards a unique public key over the air (over a 3G network, ¶37) to a content provider (terminal sends a registration message to a group controller, the message including a copy of the terminal's public key, ¶38), wherein each terminal stores a unique private key corresponding to the unique public key (terminal creates a signature using the private key, ¶38 & ¶42, showing that the terminal stores the private key). Similarly to Hawkes's RK, the private key that corresponds to the forwarded unique public key in Ahonen is used to decrypt a received encrypted key encrypting key (KEK), which is similar to Hawkes's BAK (¶41). The KEK is then used to decrypt a received encrypted traffic encrypting key (TEK, ¶41) which decrypts the broadcast content (¶36) that is received, possibly from the group controller (¶19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2439

art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawkes's terminal such that each terminal (MS) comprises code that forwards a unique public key over the air to the content provider (CS), wherein the terminal includes code for storing securely a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to perform this modification to achieve a simple mechanism for key dissemination, as taught by Ahonen (¶7). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would appreciate this benefit because Ahonen is using the existing, well-known, public key infrastructure to share a key, rather than a more complex protocol such as AKA or IKE.

Regarding claim 78, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is accessible to a user (Hawkes discloses that data in the ME is easily accessed, ¶64 and that SK is passed to the ME for decrypting of the broadcast content, ¶78; therefore, the SK is accessible to a user).

Regarding claim 79, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key information (SKI) is the short-term key encrypted using the broadcast access key (SKI may be the encryption of SK using BSK as the key, ¶76).

Regarding claim 80, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is generated by applying a cryptographic hash to a concatenation of the short-term key information (SKI) and the broadcast access key (BAK, ¶76, last three lines).

Regarding claim 82, Hawkes discloses an apparatus (MS, Fig. 4, #300) for receiving encrypting multimedia content broadcast over the air (Fig. 3, #206) from a content provider (CS, ¶63) to a plurality of apparatuses (Fig. 3, #206) authorized based on a broadcast access key (¶70), comprising a mobile equipment (ME, Fig. 4, #306) configured to decrypt the multimedia content using the short-term key (ME decrypts the received broadcast content using SK, ¶¶80-81, last two lines of each), wherein the multimedia content is encrypted with the short-term key (SK, ¶81), and wherein the short-term key is generated using the broadcast access key (BAK) and short-term key information (SKI and BAK are

Art Unit: 2439

processed to determine SK, ¶76), and a secure processing unit (UIM, Fig. 4, #308) configured to securely store a unique key (RK is stored in SUMU, Fig. 4, #314, ¶74) that is not accessible to the mobile equipment (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and RK is not provided to the ME, ¶72), wherein key storage in the secure processing unit more secure than key storage in the mobile equipment (UIM is considered secure, ¶65, where ME is considered insecure, ¶64), wherein the secure processing unit (SUPU) has processing power sufficient to decrypt an encrypted broadcast access key and to generate a short term key (UIM is able to recover the value of BAK, ¶70 and able to compute SK, ¶73), but does not have processing power sufficient to decrypt encrypted multimedia content (SUPU does not have significant processing power for functions beyond security and key procedures such as to allow encryption of the broadcast content of the HSBS, ¶66) and wherein the content provider (CS) encrypts a broadcast access key (BAK) with the unique key (RK) to authorize an apparatus having the secure processing unit (authorize the MS) securely storing the corresponding key (RK) to receive the encrypted multimedia content (BAK is encrypted with RK, ¶74 and RK is stored in the UIM, ¶74), receive the encrypted broadcast access key (BAK) over the air (Fig. 3, #206) from the content provider (BAK is received from CS, ¶74), decrypt the encrypted broadcast access key (BAK; RK is used in the UIM to decrypt BAK from BAKI, ¶74) and securely store the broadcast access key (BAK is stored in SUMU, ¶74), wherein the securely stored broadcast access key is not accessible to the mobile equipment (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and the BAK is stored in the SUMU, ¶74, where UIM is separate and secure from the ME, ¶¶64-70), receive the short-term key information (SKI) broadcast over the air from the content provider (CS sends SKI to MS, ¶76) to the plurality of apparatuses and generate the short-term key using the securely stored broadcast access key (BAK) and broadcast short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76). Hawkes lacks the mobile equipment forwarding a unique public key over the air to the content provider and lacks the secure processing unit securely storing a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to

Art Unit: 2439

the unique public key. However, Ahonen teaches a system where a terminal forwards a unique public key over the air (over a 3G network, ¶37) to a content provider (terminal sends a registration message to a group controller, the message including a copy of the terminal's public key, ¶38), wherein each terminal stores a unique private key corresponding to the unique public key (terminal creates a signature using the private key, ¶38 & ¶42, showing that the terminal stores the private key). Similarly to Hawkes's RK, the private key that corresponds to the forwarded unique public key in Ahonen is used to decrypt a received encrypted key encrypting key (KEK), which is similar to Hawkes's BAK (¶41). The KEK is then used to decrypt a received encrypted traffic encrypting key (TEK, ¶41) which decrypts the broadcast content (¶36) that is received, possibly from the group controller (¶19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawkes's terminal such that the mobile equipment (ME) forwards a unique public key over the air to the content provider (CS) and the secure processing unit (UIM) stores a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to perform this modification to achieve a simple mechanism for key dissemination, as taught by Ahonen (¶7). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would appreciate this benefit because Ahonen is using the existing, well-known, public key infrastructure to share a key, rather than a more complex protocol such as AKA or IKE.

Regarding claim 83, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is accessible to a user (Hawkes discloses that data in the ME is easily accessed, ¶64 and that SK is passed to the ME for decrypting of the broadcast content, ¶78; therefore, the SK is accessible to a user).

Regarding claim 84, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key information (SKI) is the short-term key encrypted using the broadcast access key (SKI may be the encryption of SK using BSK as the key, ¶76).

Art Unit: 2439

Regarding claim 85, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is generated by applying a cryptographic hash to a concatenation of the short-term key information (SKI) and the broadcast access key (BAK, ¶76, last three lines).

Regarding claim 87, Hawkes discloses securely storing a unique key (RK, ¶65, ¶70, ¶72), in a secure processing unit (UIM/SUPU, ¶65) of a terminal (ME, ¶64) such that the key is not accessible to a mobile equipment of the terminal (UIM uses RK, ¶65, ¶70, where the ME only uses the recovered SK, ¶70), wherein key storage in the secure processing unit (UIM) is more secure (¶65) than key storage in the mobile equipment (¶64), wherein the secure processing unit has processing power sufficient to decrypt an encrypted broadcast access key (¶70) and to generate a short term key (UIM is able to recover the value of BAK, ¶70 and able to able to compute SK, ¶73), and does not have processing power sufficient to decrypt encrypted multimedia content (SUPU does not have significant processing power for functions beyond security and key procedures such as to allow encryption of the broadcast content of the HSBS, ¶66), and wherein the broadcast access key (BAK) is encrypted by the content provider using the unique key of the terminal to authorize the terminal to receive encrypted multimedia content (¶70); receiving the encrypted broadcast access key (¶70) over the air (mobile terminal, ¶57) from the content provider (CS, Fig. 3, #206); decrypting the encrypted broadcast access key and securely storing the broadcast access key (BAKI; RK is used in the UIM to decrypt BAK from BAKI, ¶74), wherein the securely stored broadcast access key is not accessible to the mobile equipment (SUMU discourages unauthorized access to the information, ¶65 and the BAK is stored in the SUMU, ¶74, where UIM is separate and secure from the ME, ¶¶64-70); receiving short-term key information and the encrypted multimedia content broadcast over the air from the content provider to a plurality of terminals (CS sends SKI to MS, ¶76) to the plurality of apparatuses and generate the short-term key using the securely stored broadcast access key (BAK) and broadcast short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76), wherein the multimedia content is encrypted with a short-term key (¶77), and wherein the short-term key



Art Unit: 2439

is generated using the broadcast access key and the short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76); generating the short-term key using the securely stored broadcast access key and the broadcast short-term key information (SKI and BAK are processed to determine SK, ¶76); and decrypting the multimedia content using the short-term key (¶77). Hawkes lacks the mobile equipment forwarding a unique public key over the air to the content provider and lacks the secure processing unit securely storing a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. However, Ahonen teaches a system where a terminal forwards a unique public key over the air (over a 3G network, ¶37) to a content provider (terminal sends a registration message to a group controller, the message including a copy of the terminal's public key, ¶38), wherein each terminal stores a unique private key corresponding to the unique public key (terminal creates a signature using the private key, ¶38 & ¶42, showing that the terminal stores the private key). Similarly to Hawkes's RK, the private key that corresponds to the forwarded unique public key in Ahonen is used to decrypt a received encrypted key encrypting key (KEK), which is similar to Hawkes's BAK (¶41). The KEK is then used to decrypt a received encrypted traffic encrypting key (TEK, ¶41) which decrypts the broadcast content (¶36) that is received, possibly from the group controller (¶19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawkes's terminal such that the mobile equipment (ME) forwards a unique public key over the air to the content provider (CS) and the secure processing unit (UIM) stores a unique private key (instead of Hawkes's RK), corresponding to the unique public key. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to perform this modification to achieve a simple mechanism for key dissemination, as taught by Ahonen (¶7). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would appreciate this benefit because Ahonen is using the existing, well-known, public key infrastructure to share a key, rather than a more complex protocol such as AKA or IKE.

Art Unit: 2439

Regarding claim 88, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is accessible to a user (Hawkes discloses that data in the ME is easily accessed, ¶64 and that SK is passed to the ME for decrypting of the broadcast content, ¶78; therefore, the SK is accessible to a user).

Regarding claim 89, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key information (SKI) is the short-term key encrypted using the broadcast access key (SKI may be the encryption of SK using BSK as the key, ¶76).

Regarding claim 90, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term key (SK) is generated by applying a cryptographic hash to a concatenation of the short-term key information (SKI) and the broadcast access key (BAK, ¶76, last three lines).

10. Claims 70, 76, 81, 86 & 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hawkes and Ahonen**, as applied to claims 69, 75, 80, 85 & 87 above, in further view of Applied Cryptography, Second Edition by Bruce Schneier (**Schneier**).

Regarding claims 70, 76, 81, 86 & 91, Hawkes, as modified above, discloses wherein the short-term information is at least partly unpredictable, but lacks explicitly where it is a random value. However, Schneier discloses that good keys for encryption are random, such that all possible values are equally likely (i.e. unpredictable, p. 173, §Random Keys, ¶1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawkes invention, as modified above, such that the short-term information is a random value. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to enhance the security of the encrypted data such that the key is unpredictable via its randomness, as taught by Schneier.

### ***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 2439

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-3841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:45 a.m. - 4:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571)272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 21, 2010  
/Michael J Simitoski/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439